

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/528,729	OISEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yubin Hung	2624	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Yubin Hung.

(3) _____.

 (2) Guy Eriksen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 December 2006
Time: 15:40
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Proposed examiner's amendment was discussed and agreement was reached. (See the section under "Examiner's Amendment" in the office action for detail.)

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)